

Supporting and Developing a Community's Resilience

Presented by:
Tracey O' Sullivan, PhD

JASP
November 24, 2014



Community Resilience

- **Systems approach** (Berkes & Ross, 2012; Tyler & Moench, 2012)
- **Build back better ... bounce forward**
- **When disruptions in social and physical environments occur, it is important to consider those with functional limitations that could be at greater risk** (Sullivan & Hakkinen, 2011)
- **Key concepts** (Chandra, 2011; Norris et al, 2008; Castleden et al., 2013)
 - Partnerships, Sustained local leadership
 - Education about risks, preparedness & self-sufficiency
 - Access to services (eg. health services)
 - Integration of preparedness and wellness
 - Repaid restoration of services / networks
 - Engagement & empowerment of high risk groups
 - Financial resiliency – families and businesses



The EnRiCH Collaboration

- EnRiCH was developed in response to a need for more empirical evidence of the **effectiveness, feasibility** and **appropriateness** of community resilience interventions
- Community-based participatory research (CBPR) initiative with 5 communities in Canada
- Focused on **inclusive engagement and collaboration to enhance resilience** among high risk populations
- **Asset or functional capability-oriented approach** (Morgan & Ziglio, 2007; Kailes & Enders, 2007)



Lead Investigator:

Tracey O' Sullivan, UOttawa



uOttawa

Federal Partners:

Public Health Agency of Canada, Division of Aging & Seniors (Patti Gorr)

Human Resource & Skills Development Canada, Office of Disability Issues (Erik Lapalme)



Canada

Industry Partners:

Canadian Red Cross (Mona O' Brien, Karen Charles, Louise Geoffrion)

Nova Scotia Department of Emergency & Social Services (John Webb, Joanne Lawlor)

Ville de Gatineau (Jacques Rathwell, Gilles Desjardins, Nathalie Bourget- CSSS)

Region of Waterloo (Steve LaRoche)

211 Québec City (Claude Vanasse)

Ville de Québec (Nicole Pare)

Academic Partners:

University of Ottawa

(Wayne Corneil, Louise Lemyre
Craig Kuziemy, Sanni Yaya,
Linda Garcia, Dan Lane,
Jeff Jutai)

Carleton University
(Behnam Behnia)

*Funded by the Canadian Centre for
Security Science (CSS)*



High Risk Populations

- “... anyone who has functional limitations related to communication, housing, awareness, mobility/transportation, psychosocial factors, self-care/daily tasks, and safety/security, that may put them at higher risk of negative impacts when an emergency or disaster occurs” (O’Sullivan et al., 2014)
- Goes beyond labeling to focus on which functions need support
 - eg. literacy, activities of daily living, learning capability,
- Important to consider determinants which create or contribute to functional limitations and exacerbate risk
 - eg. income, access to information, medical conditions



EnRiCH Functional Capabilities Framework

(O’ Sullivan et al., 2013) (www.enrichproject.ca)



COMMUNICATION



HOUSING



AWARENESS



MOBILITY /
TRANSPORTATION



PSYCHOSOCIAL



SELF-CARE & DAILY
LIVING TASKS



SAFETY &
SECURITY

The acronym CHAMPSS is used to outline 7 categories of functional capabilities:

- Communication
- Housing
- Awareness
- Mobility / Transportation
- Psychosocial
- Self-Care & Daily Living Tasks
- Safety & Security



Purpose / Methods

- **Purpose:** To present an overview of the summative outcomes of the EnRiCH Community Resilience Intervention
- **Method:**
 - Community-based participatory approach – 4 communities
 - Recruitment of professionals and volunteers working in emergency management, health and social services, community associations
 - Data sources: audio recordings of 4 focus group sessions in each community
 - Qualitative content analysis



EnRiCH Community Intervention

The EnRiCH Community Intervention was designed as a template for communities to tailor to their own context and priorities

1. Asset/Need Assessment (focus group using SIM format)
2. EHRIT Orientation and Networking Session
3. Online Collaborative Planning Task (8-10 weeks)
4. Table Top Exercise

The EnRiCH Manual is available in (english /french*) at <http://enrichproject.ca/publications-and-resources.html>



Key Outcomes

(O'Sullivan et al., 2013)

- *Leaders emerged within each group*
- *EnRiCH was merged with other existing community initiatives*
- *Engagement of organizations whom had not participated in emergency planning activities before enhanced individual and collective asset literacy*
- *EnRiCH provided an opportunity to build a resource map or database of assets in the community*



Key Outcomes

(O'Sullivan et al., 2013)

- *Google docs provided an accessible interface to access the community database created through the intervention period*
- *The online collaborative tool was tailored to meet the needs of each community and coordinate across sectors other types of jurisdictional boundaries*
- *Information exchange provided a source of knowledge to enhance awareness and empower participants*
- *Social capital expanded as participants interacted and worked together on the asset-mapping task*



Discussion

- Inclusive engagement and recognition of the expertise of community members are **consistent with a 'Whole-of-Society' approach** to disaster and emergency management (WHO, 2009; FEMA).
- **Adaptive response is supported through awareness, connectedness and strong collaborative relationships** between different sectors and organizations in a community (Norris et al., 2008).
- **Upstream transformational leadership** (Caldwell et al., 2012) is needed to champion a paradigm shift to promote inclusive engagement and acknowledge of peoples' potential contribution



Implications and Call to Action ...

1. **Create opportunities to build awareness and relationships** –these are key factors to engage communities to become more resilient
2. **Reach out to community stakeholders to engage them** – and then really empower everyone to participate
3. **Change the lens to look for assets** within individuals, organizations and communities



Merci!
Thank-you!

Email:
tosulliv@uottawa.ca

